

European Patent Office 80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399 - 0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399 - 4465

White, Duncan Rohar Marks & Olerk LIP 90 Long Acre U 1 MAR 2010 WCZE 9RA GRANDE BRETAGNE Marks & Clerk

For any questions about this communication: Tel.:+31 (0)70 340 45 00

	26.02.10		
PN513441EPB	Application No./Patent No. 09012016.3 - 2416 / 2136516		
Applicant/Proprietor NDS Limited	<u> </u>		

Date

Communication

The extended European search report is enclosed.

The extended European search report includes, pursuant to Rule 62 EPC, the European search report (R. 61 EPC) or the partial European search report/ declaration of no search (R. 63 EPC) and the European search opinion.

Copies of documents cited in the European search report are attached.

1 additional set(s) of copies of such documents is (are) enclosed as well.

The following have been approved:

The Abstract was modified and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure(s) will be published together with the abstract; 9

Refund of the search fee

If applicable under Article 9 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.





EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 09 01 2016

Category	Citation of document with of relevant pa	n Indication, where appropriate, ssages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	DE 102 25 425 A1 (18 December 2003 (* abstract * * column 1 - colum * column 5 - column	2003-12-18) n 2 *	1-12	INV. H04L12/58
A	WO 03/053092 A (SK YUN HONG-SEO [KR]; SAN) 26 June 2003 * abstract * * page 5 - page 8		1-12	
4	WO 02/43414 A (NOK 30 May 2002 (2002- * abstract * * page 2 - page 5 * page 7 * * claim 6 *	05–30)	1-12	
	EP 1 289 322 A (CI 5 March 2003 (2003 * abstract * * paragraph [0009]		1-12	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC) H04L H04Q
	The present search report has	been drawn up for all claims		
	Place of search	Date of completion of the search		

CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS

document

2

X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document

T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filling date
D: document citad in the application
L: document cited for other reasons

[&]amp;: member of the same patent family, corresponding

This annex lists the patent family membersrelating to the patent documents cited in the above—mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in oway liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

22-02-2010

	Patent document ed in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
DE	10225425	A1	18-12-2003	AU CN WO EP JP US	2003243907 1659839 03105425 1518375 2005529551 2005174261	A A1 A1 T	22-12-200 24-08-200 18-12-200 30-03-200 29-09-200 11-08-200
WO	03053092	A	26-06-2003	AU CN KR TW US	2002353557 1605221 20030049161 286035 2005138123		30-06-200 06-04-200 25-06-200 21-08-200 23-06-200
WO	0243414	A	30-05-2002	AU EP FI US	2634402 1410659 20002566 2002087549	A2 A	03-06-200 21-04-200 23-05-200 04-07-200
EP	1289322	A	05-03-2003	CN FR JP US US	2828970		02-04-200 28-02-200 06-06-200 27-02-200 26-07-200

Datum Blatt Anmelde-Nr: cf Form 1507 1 09 012 016.3 Date Sheet Application No: Date Feullie Demande n°: The examination is being carried out on the following application documents Description, Pages 1-27 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-12 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/8-8/8 as originally filed

١

The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1	DE 102 25 425 A1 (SIEMENS AG [DE]) 18 December 2003 (2003-12-18)
D2	WO 03/053092 A (SK TELECOM CO LTD [KR]; YUN HONG-SEO [KR]; LEE SANG-HEE [KR]; SOHN SAN) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26)

D3 WO 02/43414 A (NOKIA CORP [FI]) 30 May 2002 (2002-05-30)

D4 EP 1 289 322 A (CIT ALCATEL [FR]) 5 March 2003 (2003-03-05)

H

The independent claims 1, 11 and 12 are not acceptable in their present form because they do not meet the requirement following from Article 84 taken in combination with Rules 43(1) and (3) EPC that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the invention.

1

It is indeed essential that the personalisation of the message is achieved by applying personalised metadata to the MMS message which will determine a personalised presentation-format.

Claims 1 and 11 have further very serious clarity problems as, in contrast to claim 12, they do not specify to which element of the system the request to transmit the MMS is sent by the first user and that the MMS message will be modified to be personalised to the second user.

In other words, the actual broad and vague formulation of these two claims encompasses the possibility of the first user sending, for example, an SMS to the second user asking him if he wants to receive an MMS. The way this feature is formulated is therefore not even technical and not supported by the description either.

What is described in the description is that the first user after receiving his message (personalised with his preferences with the application of personalised metadata) transmits a request to the dispatcher system to transmit the same MMS to a second user. The dispatcher will then send the MMS to the second user but with applying other metadata which correspond to the preferences of the second user.

2 By adding these clarifications in the independent claims 1, 11 and 12, the claims would be clear and Involve an inventive step.

It has to be said at this stage of the procedure that without these clarifications claims 11 and 12 do not differ in an inventive way from the cited prior art. As already explained above, to send a request to transmit an MMS is not considered as technical and cannot be considered as involving inventive activity. Furthermore without clarifying the fact that metadata are responsible for the personalisation of the format of the MMS, the second feature of the claim is not differentiating itself from the cited prior art.

111

If the Applicant intends to proceed further with the present application the following points should also be taken into consideration:

- 1 The independent claims should be in the two-part "characterised" form required by Rule 43(1) EPC, having a pre-characterising part that correctly reflects the nearest prior art.
- In order to meet the requirements of Rule 42(1)(b) EPC, the documents D1 to D4 cited above should be acknowledged and briefly discussed in the opening part of the description.
- The opening part of the description should be adapted to the new claims (Rule 42(1)(c) EPC).
- 4 All the claims should include reference signs to the figures (Rule 43(7) EPC). In the light of the complexity of features, such reference signs appear to be essential to the clairty of the claims.
- 5 The general statement "incorporated herein by reference" on page 2 is not clear. Therefore, either a short acknowledgement of the relevant subject-matter of the corresponding document, to which said statement refers, should, in accordance with Article123(2) EPC, be added to the description, or, if said document is not relevant for the performance of the invention, such statement should be deleted (cf. also EPC Guidelines C-II-4.19 and 7.4).



European Patent Office 80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399 - 0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399 - 4465

White, Duncan Rohan Marks & Clerk LLP 90 Long Acre London WC2E 9RA GRANDE BRETAGNE RECEIVED
2 6 FEB 2010

For any questions about this communication: Tel.:+31 (0)70 340 45 00

Z 6 FEB ZUIU

Marks&Clerk

25.02.10

Communication

The extended European search report is enclosed.

The extended European search report includes, pursuant to Rule 62 EPC, the European search report (R. 61 EPC) or the partial European search report/ declaration of no search (R. 63 EPC) and the European search opinion.

Copies of documents cited in the European search report are attached.

1 additional set(s) of copies of such documents is (are) enclosed as well.

The following have been approved:

✓ Abstract

☑ Title

The Abstract was modified and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure(s) will be published together with the abstract: 8

Refund of the search fee

If applicable under Article 9 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.



This annex lists the patent family membersrelating to the patent documents clied in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EUP file on The European Patent Office is in oway liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

18-02-2010

	Patent document ed in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
DE	10225425	A1	18-12-2003	AU CN WO EP	2003243907 1659839 03105425 1518375	A A1 A1	22-12-200 24-08-200 18-12-200 30-03-200
				JP US	2005529551 2005174261		29-09-200 11-08-200
MO	03053092	A	26-06-2003	AU CN KR TW US		A A B	30-06-200 06-04-200 25-06-200 21-08-200 23-06-200
WO	0243414	A	30-05-2002	AU EP FI US	2634402 1410659 20002566 2002087549	A2 A	03-06-200 21-04-200 23-05-200 04-07-200
EP	1289322	A	05-03-2003	CN FR JP US US	1407820 2828970 2003163953 2003040300 2007173268	A1 A A1	02-04-200 28-02-200 06-06-200 27-02-200 26-07-200





Application No.:

09 012 015.5

The application and the Invention to which it relates seem to meet the requirements of the EPC. Upon presence of a valid request for examination and consideration by the examining division, a communication under Rule 71(3) EPC may be issued.

The further procedure with respect to this application is dependent upon the result of the search for prior art under Article 54(3) EPC* which will be carried out at least 18 months from the date of filing of the application under consideration. If such prior art is found, a communication under Rule 71(1) EPC will be sent. The communication under Rule 71(3) EPC can be issued only after that search has been carried out and if no such prior art is found.

* It is to be noted that Article 54(4) EPC 1973 continues to apply to European patent applications still pending, filed before 13.12.2007